

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,292	06/16/2005	Thomas Schmid	7863-84346	2467
42798 75	90 06/08/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY			SUTTON, ANDREW W	
	P. O. BOX 65973 WASHINGTON, DC 20035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cumpmons	10/539,292	SCHMID ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew W. Sutton	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2005				
,	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
•					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.		`			
	7) Claim(s) 11 and 18 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
application from the International Bureau		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.			
		- -			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
rapei Nu(s)/Waii Date					

Application/Control Number: 10/539,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

This supplemental action is being sent to clarify the record as to the correct patent

number for the Koch reference as 4,342,339.

Information Disclosure Statement

An abstract or copy of reference EP 0 874 030 was not received and was not considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. The applicant states that claim 18 is dependent of the heddle support rail of claim

1. The applicant is not claiming a heddle support rail in claim 1. The examiner is claiming a

heddle support rail in claim 16. The following action will be based on the assumption that claim

18 is dependent on claim 16.

Secondly, it is unclear as to what the applicant is claim as Claim 1 states a heddle, claims

16-18 state a heddle support rail, and claim 19 states a heddle shaft. It is unclear as to what the

applicant is claiming in claims 16-19 and it needs to be clarified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 13, 15-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palua (US 3,961,649). Palua illustrates a heddle 11 for a loom having an elongated body, which on one end has an eyelet 80 for securing the heddle 11 to the heddle support rail 18 and a spring means 130 (rubber cord), which is attached to the end eyelet 80.

As to claim 2, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the spring means 130 being integrally attached to end evelet 80.

As to claim 3, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the spring means 130 is disposed on end eyelet 80 on the side facing away from the heddle body 11.

As to claim 4, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the spring means 130 being a tensioning means for supporting the heddle. It is inherent that the spring means be prestressed for the heddle structure to work.

As to claim 5, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the spring means 130 is formed by a resilient portion extending away form the end eyelet 80.

As to claim 6, Palua illustrates in Fig. 8 the spring means 130 acting in the longitudinal direction of the heddle.

As to claim 13, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the heddle 11 having a variation of cross section at 11 and at end eyelet 80.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,292

Art Unit: 3765

As to claim 15, Palua illustrates in Fig. 10 the heddle 11 having cross sections that deviate at 11 and at the end eyelet 80.

As to claim 16, Palua illustrates in Fig. 8 a heddle support rail 8 having a bearing surface for the spring means 130.

As to claim 17, Palua illustrates in Fig. 8 a heddle support rail 8 having a bearing surface for the spring means 130 with the bearing face is stationary to the support rail 8.

As to claim 19, Palua illustrates in Fig. 8 the support rail 8 having a heddle 11.

Claims 1-2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch (US 4,342,339). Koch illustrates in Fig. 1 a heddle 1 including an end eyelet 4 with a spring means 5 placed on it.

As to claim 2, Koch illustrates in Fig. 1 the spring 5 being integral to the end eyelet 4.

As to claim 7, Koch illustrates in Fig. 1 the spring 5 being a compression spring.

As to claim 8, Koch illustrates in Fig. 1 the spring 5 being a spiral spring.

As to claim 9, Koch illustrates in Figs. 1 and 3 that the heddle 1 is formed of a flat material and the end eyelet 4 is formed of a plane.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beheer (NL 8,200,107). Beheer illustrates in Fig. 1 a heddle 7 including an end eyelet 3 with a spring means 6 placed on it.

As to claim 12, Beheer illustrates the heddle 7 is a flat material with an elongated end eyelet 3 with a bended edge 6.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmeing (DE 100 35 886). Koch illustrates in Fig. 1 a heddle 2 including an end eyelet 1 with a spring means 3 placed on it.

As to claim 10, Schmeing illustrates in Fig. 2 a heddle 2 formed of a flat plane and the spring means 3 being a plane.

Claims 1, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dierman (BE 387926). Dierman illustrates in Fig. 3 a heddle 1 having an eyelet 3 for securing to the heddle rail with a spring member 3'.

As to claim 13, Dierman illustrates in Fig. 3 the heddle 1 being divided into a plurality of portions with each portion having a different cross section.

As to claim 14, Dierman illustrates in Fig. 3 the heddle 1 being divided into a plurality of portions with the cross section of the two sections have a ratio of 2 to 1 with the area they contain.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,292 Page 6

Art Unit: 3765

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 11 teaches a heddle formed of a flat plane and the spring means is embodied by a curve tongue that is not taught in the prior art. Claim 18 teaches the bearing surface of the heddle frame is adjustable that is not taught in the prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baumann (US 6,883,554) and Blickenstorfer (US 2,674,275) teach the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W. Sutton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 10/539,292

Art Unit: 3765

AWS 5/17/06

Page 7